Vote No. 546

October 27, 1995, 7:21 p.m. Page S-16029 Temp. Record

BALANCED BUDGET RECONCILIATION/Estate Taxes

SUBJECT: Balanced Budget Reconciliation Act of 1995 . . . S. 1357. Domenici motion to table the Bradley amendment No. 3031.

ACTION: MOTION TO TABLE AGREED TO, 72-27

SYNOPSIS: As reported, S. 1357, the Balanced Budget Reconciliation Act of 1995, will result in a balanced budget in seven years, as scored by the Congressional Budget Office (CBO). The bill will also provide a \$245 billion middle-class tax cut, \$141.4 billion of which will be to provide a \$500 per child tax credit.

The Bradley amendment would strike the estate tax reform provisions for family-owned businesses and farms and would substitute in lieu thereof modifications to the current-law time extension provisions for closely held businesses. (The bill's estate tax reform provisions will lower estate taxes on family-owned businesses and farms by exempting from estate tax the first \$1.5 million in assets and by lowering the estate tax by 50 percent on the next \$3.5 million in assets. A family-owned business will be defined as a business: of which one family has 50-percent ownership; of which two families have 70-percent ownership; or of which three families have 90-percent ownership. The business or farm will have to be equal to at least 50 percent of the value of the whole estate, and the heirs will have to participate in the business or farm for at least 10 years after the decedent's death.)

The Bradley amendment was offered after all debate time had expired. However, by unanimous consent, 1 minute of debate was allowed on the Bradley amendment. Following debate, Senator Domenici moved to table the Bradley amendment. Generally, those favoring the motion to table opposed the amendment; those opposing the motion to table favored the amendment.

Those favoring the motion to table contended:

The estate tax provisions in this bill for family-owned businesses and farms have strong bipartisan support. For small family farms especially this provision is important. The average age of farmers in America is rising (for example, in Iowa it has reached 62), not because those farmers refuse to pass their farms along to their children, but because the confiscatory Federal Government death tax

(See other side)

(See other side)							
	YEAS (72)			NAYS (27)		NOT VOTING (0)	
Republicans Democrats		Republicans	Democrats	Republicans Democrats			
	(52 or 98%)	(20 or 43%)	(1 or 2%)	(26 or 57%)	(0)	(0)	
Abraham Ashcroft Bennett Bond Brown Burns Campbell Chafee Coats Cochran Cohen Coverdell Craig D'Amato DeWine Dole Domenici Faircloth Frist Gorton Gramm Grams Grams Grassley Gregg Hatch Hatfield	Helms Hutchison Inhofe Kassebaum Kempthorne Kyl Lott Lugar Mack McCain McConnell Murkowski Nickles Pressler Roth Santorum Shelby Simpson Smith Snowe Specter Stevens Thomas Thompson Thurmond Warner	Baucus Biden Bingaman Bryan Exon Ford Glenn Harkin Heflin Inouye Johnston Kerrey Kohl Lieberman Murray Nunn Pell Pryor Reid Simon	Jeffords	Akaka Boxer Bradley Breaux Bumpers Byrd Conrad Daschle Dodd Dorgan Feingold Feinstein Graham Hollings Kennedy Kerry Lautenberg Leahy Levin Mikulski Moseley-Braun Moynihan Robb Rockefeller Sarbanes Wellstone	1—Office 2—Neconstruction 3—Illne 4—Other SYMBO AY—A AN—A PY—Pa	er	

VOTE NO. 546 OCTOBER 27, 1995

on their estates makes it impossible for them to hand on their farms. Farms that have been handed down for hundreds of years are being eliminated by this tax. We are certain that a strong bipartisan majority of our colleagues will categorically reject the weak provisions in the Bradley amendment.

Those opposing the motion to table contended:

The estate provisions in this bill will lower estate taxes on businesses and farms by \$1.7 million on their first \$5 million in taxes. This is an outrageously large tax deduction that will benefit estates that are worth a great deal more than \$5 million. The Bradley amendment would more carefully target tax relief to those family-owned businesses and farms that are really small. We urge Senators to support this more reasonable amendment.